

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                               | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/020,585                                    | 10/22/2001     | Nobuyoshi Sakatani   | 83365.0001           | 6588             |
| 26021 75                                      | 590 02/01/2005 | EXAMINER             |                      | INER             |
| HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.<br>500 S. GRAND AVENUE |                |                      | BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R |                  |
| SUITE 1900<br>LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611      |                |                      | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                |                      | 2155                 |                  |

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments  | 10/020,585  | SAKATANI, NOBUYOSHI  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Benjamin R Bruckart   | 2155   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is tess than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days<br>ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>cause the application to become ABANDONED | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 October 2001</u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  | This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| • •   | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.  | vn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.                                       |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/020,585

Art Unit: 2155

## **Detailed Action**

Claims 1-22 are pending in this Office Action.

## Foreign Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted on 10/22/01 under 35

U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file. Attention is directed to the fact that the date for which foreign priority is claimed is not the date of the filed application acknowledged in the oath or declaration. The priority date of 11/7/00 is given priority.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-20, are drawn to an information delivery system, classified in class 709, subclass 203.
- II. Claims 21-22, are drawn to a saver page display method, classified in class709, subclass 225.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reason:

Invention Groups I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are show to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention in Group I has separate utility such content delivery between requester and provider. See MPEP § 806.05(c). Invention in Group II has separate utility and is a saver page method with user key data.

Art Unit: 2155

Inventions in Group I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are (1) information delivery system and (2) saver page method with conditional access.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reason given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is reminded that to traverse this requirement on the grounds that the groups are not patentably distinct, applicant should present evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the groups to be obvious variations of one another. If the groups are determined not to be patentably distinct and they remain in this application, any rejection of one group over prior art will apply equally to all other embodiments. See Ex parte Appeal No. 315-40, 152 USPQ 71 (Bd. App. 1965). No argument asserting

Art Unit: 2155

patentability based on the differences between the groups will be considered once the

groups have been determined to comprise a single inventive concept.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire ONE

MONTH or 30 DAYS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Benjamin R Bruckart whose telephone number 571-272-

3982. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 PM with every other Friday

off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on 571-272-3978. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for

regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-

3982.

Benjamin R Bruckart

Examiner

Art Unit 2155

hrh

1-27-05 363

Y 19 DO

HOSAIN ALAW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER